

### Sample Relation Proofs

1. Show that the relation  $R$  on a set  $A$  is antisymmetric if and only if  $R \cap R^{-1}$  is a subset of the diagonal relation  $\Delta = \{(a, a) | a \in A\}$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $R$  is antisymmetric, but  $R \cap R^{-1} \not\subseteq \Delta$ . Then there are elements  $a, b \in A$  with  $a \neq b$  such that  $(a, b) \in R \cap R^{-1}$ . Thus,  $(a, b) \in R$ , and  $(a, b) \in R^{-1}$ . The latter implies that  $(b, a) \in R$  by the definition of  $R^{-1}$ . But then we have  $(a, b) \in R$ , and  $(b, a) \in R$ , with  $a \neq b$ , contradicting that  $R$  is antisymmetric. Thus,  $R \cap R^{-1} \subseteq \Delta$ .

Now assume that  $R \cap R^{-1} \subseteq \Delta$ , but  $R$  is not antisymmetric. Then there are elements  $a, b \in A$  with  $a \neq b$  such that  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, a) \in R$ . Then  $(a, b) \in R^{-1}$  (since  $(b, a) \in R$ ), so that  $(a, b) \in R \cap R^{-1}$ . Since  $a \neq b$ , this contradicts that  $R \cap R^{-1} \subseteq \Delta$ . Thus,  $R$  is antisymmetric.

2. Let  $R$  be the relation on the set of ordered pairs of positive integers such that  $((a, b), (c, d)) \in R$  if and only if  $ad = bc$ . Show that  $R$  is an equivalence relation.

**Proof:** We need to show that  $R$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

**Reflexive:** Since  $ab = ba$  for all positive integers,  $((a, b), (a, b)) \in R$  for all  $(a, b)$ . Thus  $R$  is reflexive.

**Symmetric:** Notice that if  $ad = bc$ , then  $cb = da$  for all positive integers  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$ . Thus  $((a, b), (c, d)) \in R$  implies that  $((c, d), (a, b)) \in R$ , so  $R$  is symmetric.

**Transitive:** Assume that  $((a, b), (c, d)) \in R$  and  $((c, d), (e, f)) \in R$ . Then  $ad = bc$  and  $cf = de$ . Solving the second for  $c$ , we get  $c = de/f$ , and plugging it into the first we get  $ad = b(de/f)$ . Multiplying both sides by  $f$ , and canceling the  $d$  on both sides yields  $af = be$ . Thus  $((a, b), (e, f)) \in R$ . Thus  $R$  is transitive.

3. Let  $R$  be the relation on the set of functions from  $\mathbf{Z}^+$  to  $\mathbf{Z}^+$  such that  $(f, g) \in R$  if and only if  $f$  is  $\Theta(g)$ . Show that  $R$  is an equivalence relation.

**Proof:** We need to show that  $R$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

**Reflexive:** Since  $1 \cdot f(x) \leq f(x) \leq 1 \cdot f(x)$  for all  $x \geq 1$ ,  $f = \Theta(f)$ , so  $R$  is reflexive.

**Symmetric:** If  $f = \Theta(g)$ , then there exists positive constants  $C_1, C_2$ , and  $x_0$  such that

$$C_1g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C_2g(x) \text{ for all } x \geq x_0.$$

This implies that

$$g(x) \leq \frac{1}{C_1}f(x) \text{ and } g(x) \geq \frac{1}{C_2}f(x) \text{ for all } x \geq x_0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{C_2}f(x) \leq g(x) \leq \frac{1}{C_1}f(x) \text{ for all } x \geq x_0.$$

Thus  $g = \Theta(f)$ , and  $R$  is symmetric.

**Transitive:** If  $f = \Theta(g)$ , then there exists positive constants  $C_1, C_2$ , and  $x_0$  such that

$$C_1g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C_2g(x) \text{ for all } x \geq x_0.$$

Similarly if  $g = \Theta(h)$ , then there exists positive constants  $C_3, C_4$ , and  $x_1$  such that

$$C_3h(x) \leq g(x) \leq C_4h(x) \text{ for all } x \geq x_1.$$

Then

$$f(x) \geq C_1g(x) \geq C_1(C_3h(x)) \text{ for all } x \geq \max\{x_0, x_1\},$$

and

$$f(x) \leq C_2g(x) \leq C_2(C_4h(x)) \text{ for all } x \geq \max\{x_0, x_1\}$$

Thus,

$$C_1C_3h(x) \leq f(x) \leq C_2C_4h(x) \text{ for all } x \geq \max\{x_0, x_1\}.$$

and  $f = \Theta(h)$ . Thus  $R$  is transitive.