

Warshall's Algorithm

Warshall's algorithm is an efficient method for computing the transitive closure of a relation. Warshall's algorithm takes as input the matrix M_R representing the relation R , and outputs the matrix M_{R^*} of the relation R^* , the transitive closure of R . Below are two version of the algorithm. The first is taken from Rosen [1], and the second is a slight variation of the algorithm.

Warshall Algorithm 1

```

Warshall( $M_R: n \times n$  0-1 matrix)
 $W := M_R$  ( $W = [w_{ij}]$ )
for( $k=1$  to  $n$ ) {
  for( $i=1$  to  $n$ ) {
    for( $j=1$  to  $n$ ) {
       $w_{ij} = w_{ij} \vee (w_{ik} \wedge w_{kj})$ 
    }
  }
}
return  $W$ 

```

Warshall Algorithm 2

```

Warshall( $M_R: n \times n$  0-1 matrix)
 $W := M_R$  ( $W = [w_{ij}]$ )
for( $k=1$  to  $n$ ) {
  for( $i=1$  to  $n$ ) {
    if( $w_{ik}=1$ ) {
      for( $j=1$  to  $n$ ) {
         $w_{ij} = w_{ij} \vee w_{kj}$ 
      }
    }
  }
}
return  $W$ 

```

Let's examine the first algorithm closely. When the inner *for* loop is being executed, the only value which is changing is j . Notice that the value of w_{ik} does not depend on j . Thus, during each iteration of the inner loop, w_{ik} is constant. If $w_{ik} = 0$, then

$$w_{ij} = w_{ij} \vee (w_{ik} \wedge w_{kj}) = w_{ij} \vee (0 \wedge w_{kj}) = w_{ij} \vee 0 = w_{ij},$$

and if $w_{ik} = 1$, then

$$w_{ij} = w_{ij} \vee (w_{ik} \wedge w_{kj}) = w_{ij} \vee (1 \wedge w_{kj}) = w_{ij} \vee w_{kj},$$

for each value of j . Thus, the values of w_{ij} remain unchanged if $w_{ik} = 0$, and become $w_{ij} \vee w_{kj}$ if $w_{ik} = 1$. It is this observation which leads to the second algorithm. Notice that when $w_{ik} = 1$, we are simply replacing the i th row of the matrix with OR of the i th and k th rows of the matrix.

In words, the second version of the algorithm says the following: To compute the i th row of W_k , look at the k th column of the i th row of W_{k-1} . If this entry is a "0", the i th row of W_k is the i th row of W_{k-1} . If this entry is a "1", the i th row of W_k is the OR of the i th and k th rows of W_{k-1} .

A comparison of the running times of the algorithms is easy. The first algorithm requires $2n^3$ bit operations, and the second no more than $n^2 + n^3$ (if we count comparison as a bit operation). Thus, the second algorithm is in general slightly faster, and if implemented in a very special way, potentially much faster.

Example: The matrix M_R below is the matrix representation for a relation R . Find the matrix representation M_{R^*} of R^* , the transitive closure of R .

$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution We know that $W_0 = M_R$. To compute W_1 , we notice that in the first column of W_0 , there are "1"s in rows 1 and 4. Thus, we replace rows 1 and 4 with the OR of themselves and row 1. We obtain

$$W_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To compute W_2 , we notice that in the second column of W_1 , there is a "1" in row 3. Thus, we replace row 3 with the OR of rows 3 and 2, obtaining

$$W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To compute W_3 , we notice that in the third column of W_2 , there is a "1" in every row. Thus, we replace each row with the OR of itself and row 3, obtaining

$$W_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To compute W_4 , we notice that in the fourth column of W_3 , there is a "1" in every row. Thus, we replace each row with the OR of itself and row 4, obtaining

$$W_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = M_{R^*} \blacksquare$$

For more details on relations and transitive closures, consult chapter 6 of [1].

References

- [1] Rosen, Kenneth H., *Discrete Mathematics and its Applications*, Third Edition, McGraw-Hill, Inc, 1994.